

From: [Kullmann, Volker <volker.kullmann@kirkland.com>](mailto:volker.kullmann@kirkland.com)

To: [ehbarak1 <ehbarak1@gmail.com>](mailto:ehbarak1@gmail.com)

CC:

Date: Sat, 4/13/2013 12:41:53 PM

Subject: Re: Re 19 April/Mr. Kullmann

Dear Ehud,

I will be available on my mobile phone [+49 \(175\) 577 1944](tel:+491755771944) tomorrow morning between 10.00 and 13.00 German time or in the evening between 18.00 and 20.00.

Best, Volker

Sent from my iPhone

Am 12.04.2013 um 22:07 schrieb "ehbarak1" <ehbarak1@gmail.com>:

Dear Volker

Thanks. I will look into it now and will contact you over the weekend if that doesn't disturb you. .pl let me know what no. is to be used if I call you on Sunday.

Best

Ehud

Sent from Samsung Mobile

"Kullmann, Volker" <volker.kullmann@kirkland.com> wrote:

Dear Ehud,

I spoke with Messrs. Heri and Bodenehr of JB. It was a friendly discussion. Based on what they told me I think the key question is whether you would be ok with their proposed split fee structure of a fixed (consultancy) compensation and a variable compensation (an incentive fee which basically is a finder fee). They would be fine to increase the fixed compensation to USD 300,000 per annum without changing the proposed variable compensation. They mentioned that they would see the scope per year between 5-10 days at maximum. They answered my questions as follows:

1. Model 1: How is Net Income defined and what does maximum mean in that context?

Net Income is basically all revenues generated with a particular customer (the net new money) minus the costs of products and services incurred by the bank (which they described as a relatively small percentage of the revenues, basically the overhead costs). In their standard finder agreements the 30% are only payable if the bank gets at least USD 5 million net new money from the relevant customer. It seemed to me that we could negotiate that point.

2. Model 2: How is Net New Money defined (the term Net is not clear to me in that context) and what does the split discretionary\advisory mean? Is the fee also paid annually as in model 1 or only once?

Net New Money (NNM) is defined as total (cash) inflow minus total (cash) outflow. We would have to define the period in which a subsequent outflow would have to happen to count.

The split discretionary/advisory means that the incentive fee is 1.5% of the NNM only if such NNM given to the bank under a separate asset management agreement where the bank typically earns much more than in the other scenario where a customer just wires money into a (new) an account and the banks advises on particular transactions (e.g. buying stocks or bonds) for which the bank only gets the standard commission but no asset management fee.

In Model 2, the incentive fee is only paid once not annually. They said that a combination of both fee models may also be possible. They also mentioned that there is a (rather uncommon) third model which is a fee based on the assets under management. Such fee would be much lower around 0.2% but calculated and paid annually.

3. Both models: I would expect that the incentive fee is also paid for quite a while following termination of the contract. How long would such tail-off period run?

They said that there would be two separate agreements, a consultancy agreement with a fixed compensation and a finder or incentive agreement for the variable compensation. The incentive agreement would have a term of maximum 10 years and could continue even if the consultancy agreement would be terminated..

4. I thought that you wanted to also send me a revised split of the two components of the proposed compensation (fixed base and incentive) but may be I misunderstood you. Would you be prepared to increase the fixed base compensation and if so to which amount? How would such increase affect the incentive fee?

As mentioned above, they would be willing to increase the fixed compensation to USD 300,000 per annum.

When would be a good time for you to speak?

Kind regards,

Volker

From: ehbarak1 [<mailto:ehbarak1@gmail.com>]
Sent: Freitag, 12. April 2013 05:09
To: Kullmann, Volker
Subject: Re: Re 19 April/Mr. Kullmann

Dear Volker

Ok.let me know afterwards.

Thx

Ehud

Sent from Samsung Mobile

"Kullmann, Volker" <volker.kullmann@kirkland.com> wrote:

Dear Ehud, a couple of minutes ago someone from JB called me while I was driving. He will call me tomorrow at 4.00 pm German time to (hopefully) answer the questions I sent to Boris.

Best, Volker

Sent from my iPhone

Am 11.04.2013 um 19:17 schrieb "ehbarak1" <ehbarak1@gmail.com>:

Dear Volker

I did not hear from him. I think he is traveling . the reminder is in place. Let me know when development occurs.

Best

Ehud

Sent from Samsung Mobile

"Kullmann, Volker" <volker.kullmann@kirkland.com> wrote:

Dear Ehud,

I hope you are well. Please see below my reminder email to Boris. Have you heard anything from him since we spoke last Saturday?

Best, Volker

From: Kullmann, Volker
Sent: Donnerstag, 11. April 2013 17:35
To: Collardi, Boris
Subject: RE: Re 19 April/Mr. Kullmann

Dear Mr. Collardi,

Do you have any questions regarding my email dated 7 April below since I have not heard back from you.

Kind regards,

Volker Kullmann

From: Kullmann, Volker
Sent: Sonntag, 7. April 2013 11:49
To: Collardi, Boris
Subject: Re: Re 19 April/Mr. Kullmann

Dear Mr. Collardi,

Thanks for the brief summary and apologies for my late reply but I was travelling until yesterday. In order to be able to give Ehud a more comprehensive overview of your proposed fee structure I would need to better understand how the two models work exactly:

1. Model 1: How is Net Income defined and what does maximum mean in that context?

2. Model 2: How is Net New Money defined (the term Net is not clear to me in that context) and what does the split discretionary\advisory mean? Is the fee also paid annually as in model 1 or only once?

3. Both models: I would expect that the incentive fee is also paid for quite a while following termination of the contract. How long would such tail-off period run?

4. I thought that you wanted to also send me a revised split of the two components of the proposed compensation (fixed base and incentive) but may be I misunderstood you. Would you be prepared to increase the fixed base compensation and if so to which amount? How would such increase affect the incentive fee?

Kind regards,

Volker Kullmann
Rechtsanwalt

Kirkland & Ellis International LLP
Maximilianstrasse 11
80539 München

[+49 \(89\) 2030 6140](tel:+49(89)20306140) (direct)
[+49 \(175\) 577 1944](tel:+49(175)5771944) (mobile)
[+49 \(89\) 2030 6000](tel:+49(89)20306000) (main)
[+49 \(89\) 2030 6100](tel:+49(89)20306100) (fax)
volker.kullmann@kirkland.com (email)
www.kirkland.com

Sent from my iPad

Am 05.04.2013 um 00:26 schrieb "Collardi, Boris"
<boris.collardi@juliusbaer.com>:

Dear Mr Kullmann,
Good to talk to you. Here is what I would offer on the incentive fee:
Model 1 (Net Income)
Maximum share of Net Income p.a. 30.00% (ie
Or
Model 2 (Net New Money)
Maximum compensation on NNM (Discretionary 1.50% Advisory /
Administration 0.75%)
let me have your feedback.
Best,
Boris

From: Kullmann, Volker [<mailto:volker.kullmann@kirkland.com>]
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 12:29 PM

To: Collardi, Boris
Subject: Re: Re 19 April/Mr. Kullmann

Dear Mr. Collardi,

Apologies but I have to push our call today by 15 minutes to 15.15 CET.

Kind regards,

Volker Kullmann

Sent from my iPhone

Am 02.04.2013 um 22:47 schrieb "Kullmann, Volker"
<volker.kullmann@kirkland.com>

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure:

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of (1) avoiding tax-related penalties under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Kirkland & Ellis LLP or Kirkland & Ellis International LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to munich@kirkland.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

Kirkland & Ellis International LLP is a limited liability partnership established in Delaware (file number 3727042), and the liability of its partners is limited in accordance with section 15-306(c) of the Delaware Revised Uniform Partnership Act. A list of the partners, giving each partner's professional qualification and jurisdiction of qualification, is open for inspection at the address below. The professionals of Kirkland & Ellis International LLP residing in Germany are, unless otherwise stated, licensed to practise in the Federal Republic of Germany as Rechtsanwälte (attorneys at law) and, in some cases, additionally as Steuerberater (tax advisors), but only those professionals expressly identified as such are partners of Kirkland & Ellis International LLP. Additional information, as well as German language information, is available at www.kirkland.com/munich

Kirkland & Ellis International LLP | Munich Office

Maximilianstraße 11 | 80539 Munich | Germany | +49 89 2030 6000 **MAIN** | +49 89 2030 6100 **FAX**
munich@kirkland.com | www.kirkland.com/munich
VAT ID: DE 814 19 00 22